



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,708	05/03/2005	Michael Birsha Davies	P33143USW	6207
23347	7590	09/30/2009		
GLAXOSMITHKLINE CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY, MAI B482 FIVE MOORE DR., PO BOX 13398 RESEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709-3398			EXAMINER OSTRUP, CLINTON T	
			ART UNIT 3771	PAPER NUMBER
			NOTIFICATION DATE 09/30/2009	DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USCIPRTP@GSK.COM
LAURA.M.MCCULLEN@GSK.COM
JULIE.D.MCFALLS@GSK.COM

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/533,708	Applicant(s) DAVIES ET AL.	
	Examiner CLINTON OSTRUP	Art Unit 3771	

All Participants: (1) CLINTON OSTRUP.
(2) Dwight Walker.

Status of Application: Allowed
(3) _____.
(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2009 **Time:** 3:00pm+/-

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.
 Rejection(s) discussed:
No
 Claims discussed:
None
 Prior art documents discussed:
No

Part II.
 SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Clinton Ostrup/
 Examiner, Art Unit 3771
 /Justine Yu/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner called Mr. Walker because the amended abstract described in the Preliminary amendment filed 5/3/2005 was not found in the application. Mr. Walker said he would file the amended abstract with a post card receipt showing the Office had received the abstract 5/3/2005.